

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Prudential Insurance Company of America,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Dylan Houser, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14

No. CV-24-02706-PHX-KML

ORDER

15 Plaintiff Prudential Insurance Company states it “has limited information on the
16 competence of Defendant Dylan Houser.” (Doc. 6 at 1.) Prudential plans to attempt service
17 of process on Dylan by sending a process server to the State Hospital. (Doc. 6 at 2.) Without
18 addressing whether that service will be proper, Prudential may attempt such service.

19 Prudential states it “named Joyce Houser as a defendant . . . in her sole capacity as
20 guardian of minor J.D.” (Doc. 6 at 2.) Despite that, Prudential then states it has “named
21 both Joyce Houser and J.D. as defendants.” (Doc. 6 at 2.) Given that she is not being named
22 in any capacity other than as guardian of J.D., Joyce Houser will not be listed as a separate
23 defendant.

24 /

25 /

26 /


27 /

28 /

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS ORDERED** Prudential shall submit, and the Clerk of Court shall issue, new
3 summons in the names of 1) Dylan Houser; and 2) Joyce Houser, solely in her capacity as
4 guardian of J.D.

5 Dated this 23rd day of October, 2024.

6
7 

8 **Honorable Krissa M. Lanham**
9 **United States District Judge**
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28